```
Gregory M. Sheffer, State Bar No. 173124
   Laralei Schmohl, State Bar No. 203319
   SHEFFER & CHANLER LLP
   ATTORNEYS AT LAW
   160 Sansome Street, 2nd Floor
   San Francisco, CA 94104-3706
   Tel: (415) 434-9111
   Fax: (415) 434-9115
5
   Attorneys for Plaintiff
   WHITNEY R. LEEMAN, Ph.D.
   Robert L. Falk, State Bar No. 142007
   Lauren M. Michals, State Bar No. 184473
   MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP
8
    425 Market Street
9
    San Francisco, CA 94105
   Tel: (415) 268-7000
10
   Attorneys for Defendant
11
   MIKASA, INC.
12
                 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
13
              IN AND FOR THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
14
15
                                          No. CGC-03-418030
16
    WHITNEY R. LEEMAN, Ph.D.
17
              Plaintiff
                                          STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]
                                          ORDER RE: CONSENT JUDGMENT
18
         ν.
19
    MIKASA, INC., and DOES 1
    through 150,
20
        Defendants.
21
22
         This Stipulation and [Proposed] Order Re: Consent Judgment
23
    ("Agreement" or "Consent Judgment") is entered into by and between
24
    plaintiff, Whitney R. Leeman, Ph.D. ("Dr. Leeman" or "Leeman") and
25
    defendant Mikasa, Inc. ("Mikasa") on July 7, 2003 (the "Effective
26
    Date"). Dr. Leeman and Mikasa are collectively referred to herein
27
28
```

- 1 as the "Parties" and hereby agree to the following terms and
- 2 conditions:

3 WHEREAS:

- A. Dr. Leeman is an individual residing in Sacramento,
- 5 California, who seeks to promote awareness of exposures to toxic
- 6 chemicals and improve human health by reducing or eliminating
- 7 hazardous substances contained in consumer products;
- 8 B. Mikasa has distributed and sold certain patterns of
- 9 glassware products containing colored designs or decorations (the
- 10 "Products") with materials that contain lead (or lead compounds)
- 11 and cadmium (the "Listed Chemicals");
- 12 C. A list of the Products which are covered by this
- 13 Agreement is provided in Exhibit A. Mikasa represents and
- 14 warrants that for at least the past twelve months the distribution
- 15 of the Products in the State of California has principally been
- 16 through its own retail outlet stores; and
- D. On February 7, 2003, Dr. Leeman first served Mikasa
- 18 and other public enforcement agencies with a document entitled
- 19 "60-Day Notice of Violation" which provided Mikasa and such public
- 20 enforcers with notice that Mikasa was allegedly in violation of
- 21 Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 for allegedly failing to warn
- 22 purchasers that certain products it sells in California expose
- 23 users to one or more Listed Chemicals; and
- 24 E. On March 5, 2003, Dr. Leeman filed, but did not
- 25 serve on Mikasa, a complaint for restitution and injunctive relief
- 26 entitled Whitney R. Leeman, Ph.D. v. Mikasa Inc., et al. in the
- 27 San Francisco County Superior Court, naming Mikasa as a defendant
- 28 and alleging violations of Business & Professions Code § 17200 and

- 1 Business & Professions Code § 17500 on behalf of individuals in
- 2 California who allegedly have been exposed to one or more Listed
- 3 Chemicals contained in certain products sold by Mikasa; and
- F. On April 16, 2003, Dr. Leeman filed, and served on
- 5 Mikasa, a first amended complaint for restitution, injunctive
- 6 relief, and civil penalties naming Mikasa as a defendant and
- 7 alleging violations of Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 on behalf of
- 8 individuals in California who allegedly have been exposed to one
- 9 or more Listed Chemicals contained in certain products sold by
- 10 Mikasa; and
- 11 G. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be construed
- 12 as an admission by Mikasa of any fact, finding, issue of law, or
- 13 violation of law; nor shall compliance with this Agreement
- 14 constitute or be construed as an admission by Mikasa of any fact,
- 15 finding, conclusion, issue of law, or violation of law. However,
- 16 this paragraph shall not diminish or otherwise affect the
- 17 obligations, responsibilities, and duties of the Parties under
- 18 this Agreement.
- 19 NOW THEREFORE, WHITNEY R. LEEMAN, Ph.D. AND MIKASA, INC. HEREBY
- 20 STIPULATE AND AGREE AS FOLLOWS:
- 21 1. Product Warnings. Mikasa shall immediately begin
- 22 to provide warnings with regard to its sale of the Products in
- 23 California by providing the language set forth in sections 1.1(a)
- 24 and 1.1(b) below. Mikasa agrees that it will not knowingly sell
- 25 any Products containing the Listed Chemicals in the State of
- 26 California unless such Products comply with sections 1.1 or 1.2
- 27 below:

1	1.1(a) Beginning within thirty (30) days after
2	the Effective Date, for all Products sold in Mikasa's California
3	retail outlet stores, the following warning statement shall be
4	given for the Products at or near their point of sale or display:
5	"WARNING: The materials used as colored decorations
6	on the exterior of glassware products sold in this store contain lead, a
7	chemical known to the State of California to cause birth defects or other
8	reproductive harm."
9	The warning statement shall be prominently placed with such
10	conspicuousness as compared with other words, statements, designs,
11	or devices as to render it likely to be read and understood by an
12	ordinary individual under customary conditions of purchase. The
13	sign attached as Exhibit B hereto may be used for this purpose
14	provided that Mikasa does not also knowingly sell Products which
15	otherwise meet the definition of Reformulated Products set forth
16	in Paragraph 1.2 below at the retail outlet at which the sign will
17	be used. In the event that a retail outlet knowingly sells
18	Products which otherwise meet the definition of Reformulated
19	Products set forth in Paragraph 1.2 below, the name(s) of the
20	pattern(s) of any such Products shall be delineated on the sign
21	with an explanation that the warning statement does not apply to
22	such pattern(s). The font size of such delineation may be smaller
23	than the size of the warning statement referenced above provided
24	that it is legible to the consumer. An exemplar of such a
25	modified sign is appended hereto as Exhibit C. Mikasa may affix
26	the sign to the top of each service counter at which a cash
27	register is proximately located provided that the warning shall
28	not be obscured from plain view (except by the placement of

- merchandise by a customer) and shall be at least the same size and 1
- contain the same language and format as that appearing on Exhibit 2
- B or C. Any changes to the language, format, size, or posting 3
- location of the warning required by this paragraph shall only be 4
- 1) the provision of written notice to counsel to 5 made following:
- Leeman and, 2) following provision to Leeman's counsel of fifteen 6
- (15) days for the opportunity to comment, receipt of approval from 7
- the California Attorney General's office. 8
- Beginning within forty-five (45) days of 9 1.1(b)
- the Effective Date, Mikasa shall initiate or otherwise arrange for 10
- revisions or retrofits to current labels for any Products shipped 11
- to California by Mikasa for sale by others to include the warning 12
- statement appearing below. Mikasa shall use reasonable efforts to 13
- ensure that all Products in its possession intended for shipment 14
- to California for sale by others are packaged using the below 15
- warning statement as quickly as possible; however, as of sixty 16
- (60) days following the Effective Date, Mikasa shall not ship to 17
- California any of the Products for sale by others unless each such 18
- Product contains the following warning on its consumer packaging: 19
- 20 "WARNING: The materials used on the exterior,
- decoration of this product contain lead, 21 a chemical known to the State of
 - California to cause birth defects or
- 22 other reproductive harm."
- The warning statement shall be prominently placed with such 23
- conspicuousness as compared with other words, statements, designs, 24
- or devices as to render it likely to be read and understood by an 25
- ordinary individual under customary conditions of purchase. 26
- label attached as Exhibit D hereto may be used for this purpose. 27
- Mikasa may affix the label to the Product's packaging provided 28

- 1 that the packaging is available to the plain view of the consumer
- 2 prior to purchase and the label has at least the same size and
- 3 contain the same language and format as that appearing on Exhibit
- 4 D. Any changes to the language, format, size, or location of the
- 5 warning required by this paragraph shall only be made following:
- 6 1) the provision of written notice to counsel to Leeman, and, 2)
- 7 following provision to Leeman's counsel of fifteen (15) days for
- 8 the opportunity to comment, receipt of approval from the
- 9 California Attorney General's office.
- 1.2 The warnings required pursuant to
- 11 Paragraphs 1.0 and 1.1(a) and (b) above shall not be required for
- 12 Products which:
- 13 (a) if the painted decoration is solely on the exterior of the
- 14 Froduct exclusive of the top 20 millimeters of the ware (i.e.,
- below the exterior portion of the lip and rim area as defined
- 16 by American Society of Testing and Materials Standard Test
- Method C927-99, hereinafter the "Lip and Rim Area"), produce
- either a nondetectable test result or a test result no higher
- than 1.0 micrograms (ug) of lead (depending on whether flame
- 20 AAS or graphite furnace AAS is applied for the analysis
- 21 respectively, which shall be at Mikasa's sole option) using a
- 22 Ghost Wipe[™] test applied on painted portions of the surface of
- 23 the Product performed as outlined in NIOSH method no. 9100; or
- 24
- 25 (b) if the painted decoration extends into the exterior Lip
- 26 and Rim Area or the interior (food contact surface) of the
- 27 Product, a test result acceptable under subparagraph (a) above,
- 28 and (1) a result of 0.5 micrograms/milliliter (ug/ml) of lead

or less using ASTM method C 927-99 with respect to any decoration in the Lip and Rim area and/or (2) a result of 0.1 parts per million (ppm) of lead or less using AOAC/ASTM method 973.32 with respect to any decoration on the interior (food contact surface) if the Product is holloware or a result of 0.226 ppm of lead or less using AOAC/ASTM method 973.32 with respect to any decoration on the interior (food contact surface) if the Product is flatware; or

(c) utilize paints on all decorations containing four one-hundredths of one percent (0.04%) lead by weight or less (as measured by a sample size of the paint measuring approximately 50-100 mg) and contain no painted decoration within any part of the interior (food contact surface) of the Product or in the Lip and Rim Area of the Product.

Should any court enter a final judgment in a case brought by Leeman, another citizen enforcer, or the People involving glassware or drinkware products with decoration containing lead which sets forth standards defining when Proposition 65 warnings will or will not be required ("Alternative Standards"), Mikasa shall be entitled to seek a modification of this Consent Judgment so as to be able to utilize and rely on such Alternative Standards in lieu of those set forth in subsections (a), (b), or (c) of this Paragraph; Leeman shall not unreasonably contest any proposed application to effectuate such a modification provided that the Products for which such a modification are sought are substantially similar in type and function to those for which the Alternative Standards apply.

Products satisfying the conditions of this Paragraph are hereinafter 1 referred to as "Reformulated Products". 2 Payment Pursuant To Health & Safety Code 2. 3 §25249.7(b). Pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b), Mikasa 4 shall pay \$95,000.00 in civil penalties. This amount shall be 5 paid, subject to the potential reduction specified in Paragraph 6 2.1 below and according to the following schedule: 7 17,000.00 shall be paid within ten (10) calendar days of 8 (a) 9 the Effective Date: 10 an additional \$21,000.00 shall be paid within sixty (60) 11 (b) days of the Effective Date if there has been no written 12 certification provided to Leeman's counsel by Mikasa pursuant 13 14 to Paragraph 2.1(a); 15 another additional \$27,000.00 shall be paid within one 16 hundred twenty (120) days of the Effective Date if there has 17 been no written certification provided to Leeman's counsel by 18 Mikasa pursuant to Paragraph 2.1(b); and 19 20 21 a final additional \$30,000.00 shall be paid within one hundred eighty (180) days of the Effective Date if there has 22 been no written certification provided to Leeman's counsel by 23 Mikasa pursuant to Paragraph 2.1(c). 24 25

- The penalty payments specified above are, where applicable, to be 26
- made payable to "Sheffer & Chanler LLP In Trust For Whitney R. 27
- In the event that Mikasa pays any penalty and the Consent 28

- 1 Judgment is not thereafter approved and entered by the Court, Leeman
- 2 shall return any penalty funds paid under this paragraph within
- 3 fifteen (15) days of receipt of a written request from Mikasa
- 4 following notice of issuance of the Court's decision. In the event
- 5 the Consent Judgment is entered by the Court, those penalty monies
- 6 received shall be apportioned by Dr. Leeman in accordance with
- 7 Health & Safety Code § 25192, with 75% of these funds remitted to
- 8 the State of California's Department of Toxic Substances Control.
- 9 2.1 Reformulation Options. The Parties hereby
- 10 agree that the total amount of civil penalties established in
- 11 Paragraph 2 above shall be subject to the following
- 12 reductions/waivers:
- 13 (a) the payments otherwise required by Paragraph 2(b), shall
- 14 be waived if, within five (5) days of the date that such
- payment would otherwise be due, Mikasa provides written
- 16 certification to Leeman's counsel that it, as a matter of
- official corporate policy, intends to undertake good faith
- 18 efforts to obtain Products meeting the criteria for
- Reformulated Products as set forth in Paragraph 1.2 above with
- 20 respect to those patterns of Products it wishes to continue to
- 21 offer for sale in California;

- 23 (b) the payment otherwise required by Paragraph 2(c) shall be
- waived in its entirety if, within five (5) days of the date
- 25 that such payment would otherwise be due, Mikasa provides
- written certification and substantiating documentation to
- 27 Leeman's counsel that it has in fact begun to employ actual and
- 28 substantial efforts to cause Products to be redesigned or

1	reformulated so that, pursuant to Section 1.2, no warning will
2	be necessary on Products sold in Mikasa's California retail
3	outlet stores or Products shipped to California by Mikasa for
4	sale by others within eight (8) months thereafter.
5	Documentation that shall be deemed to meet the requirements of
6	this paragraph may include, but is not limited to, evidence of
7	communications with multiple suppliers concerning their ability
8	to provide Mikasa with Products meeting the criteria set forth
9	for Reformulated Products pursuant to Section 1.2 above,
10	requests for samples of Products from suppliers who purport to
11	be able to meet the criteria set forth for Reformulated
12	Products pursuant to Section 1.2 above, results of testing on
13	Products assessing their ability to meet the criteria set forth
14	for Reformulated Products pursuant to Section 1.2 above, and/or
15	orders placed for initial shipments of Reformulated Products
16	intended for commerical sale;

(c) the payment otherwise required by Paragraph 2(d) shall be waived in its entirety if, within five (5) days of the date that such payment would otherwise be due, Mikasa provides written certification to Leeman's counsel that each Product sold in Mikasa's California retail outlet stores and all Products shipped to California by Mikasa for sale by others will in fact meet the criteria for Reformulated Products (as detailed in Section 1.2) within one hundred eighty (180) days thereafter.

28 The Parties agree that Mikasa's potential interest in and ability to

- 1 acquire and market Reformulated Products is to be accounted for in
- 2 this Paragraph and, since it is not a remedy provided for by law,
- 3 the absence of Mikasa previously acquiring or marketing Reformulated
- 4 Products is not relevant to the establishment of a penalty amount
- 5 pursuant to Paragraph 2 above.
- 6 3. Reimbursement of Attorneys' Fees And Costs. The
- 7 parties acknowledge that Dr. Leeman and her counsel offered to
- 8 resolve this dispute without reaching terms on the amount of fees
- 9 and costs to be reimbursed to them, thereby leaving this fee issue
- 10 to be resolved after the material terms of the agreement had been
- 11 settled. Mikasa then expressed a desire to resolve the fee and
- 12 cost issue shortly after the other settlement terms had been
- 13 finalized. The parties then attempted to (and did) reach an
- 14 accord on the compensation due to Dr. Leeman and her counsel under
- 15 the private attorney general doctrine codified at Code of Civil
- 16 Procedure § 1021.5 for all work performed through the Effective
- 17 Date of the Agreement.
- 18 Under the private attorney general doctrine codified at Code
- 19 of Civil Procedure section 1021.5, Mikasa shall reimburse Dr.
- 20 Leeman and her counsel for fees and costs, incurred as a result of
- 21 investigating, bringing this matter to Mikasa's attention,
- 22 litigating and negotiating a settlement in the public interest.
- 23 Mikasa shall pay Dr. Leeman and her counsel \$40,000.00 for all
- 24 attorneys' fees, expert and investigation fees, and litigation
- 25 costs incurred with respect to this matter within ten (10)
- 26 calendar days of the Effective Date. Payment should be made
- 27 payable to "Sheffer & Chanler LLP." In the event that Mikasa pays
- 28 any funds pursuant to this paragraph and the Consent Judgment is

- 1 not thereafter approved and entered by the Court, Leeman shall,
- 2 upon Mikasa's written request, return any funds paid under this
- 3 paragraph within fifteen (15) days of effective written notice of
- 4 the Court's decision.
- 5 4. Post-Execution Activities. The Parties acknowledge
- 6 that, pursuant to Health & Safety Code §25249.7, a noticed motion
- 7 is required to obtain judicial approval of this Agreement.
- 8 Accordingly, the Parties agree to use their best efforts to file a
- 9 Joint Motion to Approve the Agreement ("Joint Motion"), the first
- 10 draft of which Mikasa shall prepare, within a reasonable period of
- 11 time after execution of this Agreement (i.e., not to exceed
- 12 fourteen (14) days unless otherwise agreed to by Leeman's counsel
- 13 based on unanticipated circumstances). Leeman's counsel shall
- 14 prepare a declaration in support of the Joint Motion which shall,
- 15 inter alia, set forth a statement detailing the fees and costs to
- 16 be reimbursed pursuant to Paragraph 3. Mikasa shall have no
- 17 additional responsibility to Leeman or Leeman's counsel pursuant
- 18 to C.C.P. §1021.5 or otherwise with regard to reimbursement of any
- 19 fees and costs incurred with respect to the preparation and filing
- 20 of the Joint Motion and its supporting declaration or with regard
- 21 to Leeman's counsel appearing for a hearing or related proceedings
- 22 thereon.
- 23 5. Dr. Leeman's Release of Mikasa. Dr. Leeman, by
- 24 this Agreement, on behalf of herself, her agents, representatives,
- 25 attorneys, assigns and in the interest of the general public,
- 26 waives all rights to institute or participate in, directly or
- 27 indirectly, any form of legal action, and releases all claims,

28 liabilities, obligations, losses, costs, expenses, fines and

- 1 damages, against Mikasa and its respective distributors,
- 2 customers, retailers, directors, officers, employees, parents,
- 3 corporate affiliates (such as sister companies within the same
- 4 corporate family), successors and assigns, whether under
- 5 Proposition 65 or the Business & Profession Code § 17200 et seq.
- 6 or § 17500 et seq. based on Mikasa's alleged failure to warn about
- 7 exposure to lead (or lead compounds) contained in any of the
- 8 Products. Dr. Leeman, by this Agreement, on behalf of herself,
- 9 her agents, representatives, attorneys, and assigns, also waives
- 10 all rights to institute or participate in, directly or indirectly,
- 11 any form of legal action, and releases all claims, liabilities,
- 12 obligations, losses, costs, expenses, fines and damages, against
- 13 Mikasa and its respective distributors, customers, retailers,
- 14 directors, officers, employees, parents, corporate affiliates
- 15 (such as sister companies within the same corporate family),
- 16 successors and assigns, under Proposition 65 or the Business &
- 17 Profession Code § 17200 et seq. or § 17500 et seq. based on
- 18 Mikasa's alleged failure to warn about exposure to Listed
- 19 Chemicals. The releases provided for by this paragraph shall not
- 20 extend to any manufacturer of the Products or other entities that
- 21 supply the Products to Mikasa.
- 22 6. Mikasa's Release Of Dr. Leeman. Mikasa, by this
- 23 Agreement, waives all rights to institute any form of legal action
- 24 against Dr. Leeman and her attorneys or representatives, for all
- 25 actions or statements made by Dr. Leeman, and her attorneys or
- 26 representatives, in the course of seeking enforcement of
- 27 Proposition 65 or California Business & Profession Code § 17200 or
- 28 § 17500 against Mikasa in this Action.

- 7. Sales Data. Mikasa understands that the sales data
- 2 provided to counsel for Dr. Leeman by Mikasa was a material factor
- 3 upon which Dr. Leeman has relied to determine the amount of
- 4 payments made pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b) in
- 5 this Agreement. To the best of Mikasa's knowledge, the sales data
- 6 provided is true and accurate. In the event that Dr. Leeman
- 7 discovers facts that demonstrate to a reasonable degree of
- 8 certainty that the sales data is materially inaccurate, the
- 9 parties shall meet in a good faith attempt to resolve the matter
- 10 within ten (10) days of Mikasa's receipt of notice from Dr. Leeman
- 11 of her intent to challenge the accuracy of the sales data. If
- 12 this good faith attempt fails to resolve Dr. Leeman's concerns,
- 13 Dr. Leeman shall have the right to re-institute an enforcement
- 14 action against Mikasa, for those additional Products, based upon
- 15 any existing 60-Day Notices of violation served on Mikasa. In
- 16 such case, all applicable statutes of limitation shall be deemed
- 17 tolled for the period between the date Dr. Leeman filed the
- 18 instant action and the date Dr. Leeman notifies Mikasa that she is
- 19 re-instituting the action for the additional Products. Provided,
- 20 however, that: a) Dr. Leeman shall not have the option of
- 21 exercising her rights under this Paragraph more than one year
- 22 following the Effective Date and, b) Mikasa shall have no
- 23 additional liability, and Dr. Leeman waives any claims that might
- 24 otherwise be asserted, from the Effective Date until the date that
- 25 Dr. Leeman provides notice under this Section 6.0, so long as
- 26 Mikasa has complied with the requirements of Paragraph 1.1 for all
- 27 of the Products, including those numbers of Products additionally
- 28 discovered.

- 8. Court Approval. If, for any reason, this Consent
- 2 Judgment is not ultimately approved by the Court within one
- 3 hundred eighty (180) days following the Effective Date, this
- 4 Agreement shall, unless otherwise agreed in writing by the
- 5 Parties, be deemed null and void, and all monies provided to
- 6 Dr. Leeman or her counsel shall be refunded to Mikasa within
- 7 fifteen (15) days after receipt of written notice to Leeman's
- 8 counsel from Mikasa pursuant to this Paragraph.
- 9 9. Severability. In the event that any of the
- 10 provisions of this Agreement are held by a court to be
- 11 unenforceable, the validity of the enforceable provisions shall
- 12 not be adversely affected.
- 13 10. Attorney's Fees. In the event that a dispute
- 14 arises with respect to any provision(s) of this Agreement
- 15 (including, but not limited to, disputes arising from the
- 16 provisions in paragraphs 2 and 3), the prevailing party shall be
- 17 entitled to recover costs and reasonable attorneys' fees. This
- 18 provision, however, shall not apply to the procedures set forth in
- 19 Paragraph 3.2 above, which shall govern on its own terms.
- 20 11. Governing Law. The terms of this Agreement shall
- 21 be governed by the laws of the State of California and shall apply
- 22 within the State of California.
- 23 12. Notices. All correspondence to Dr. Leeman shall be
- 24 mailed to:
- 25 Gregory Sheffer
 - Sheffer & Chanler
- 26 160 Sansome Street, 2nd Floor San Francisco, CA 94104-3706
- 27

1	All	correspondence to Mikasa shall be mailed to:
2		ert L. Falk, Esq. rison & Foerster, LLP
3	425	Market Street Francisco, CA 94105-2482
4	Jai	Trancisco, di Filos III
5	and	l
6		t Petrillo Kasa, Inc.
7	One	e Mikasa Drive caucus, NJ 07096
8	500	ducus, no ovose
9	13. Con	mpliance With Reporting Requirements (Health &
10	Safety Code §25249	9.7(f)). Dr. Leeman agrees to comply with the
11	reporting form red	quirements referenced in 11 Cal. Code Regs §
12	3000, et seq. Purs	suant to the regulations promulgated under 11
13	Cal. Code Regs § 3	3003, Dr. Leeman shall present this Consent
14	Judgment to the Ca	alifornia Attorney General's office within two
15	(2) days of time a	after receiving all necessary signatures. A
16	noticed motion to	enter the Consent Judgment will then be served
17	on the Attorney G	eneral's office at least forty-five (45) days
18	prior to the date	a hearing is scheduled on such a motion in the
19	San Francisco Supe	erior Court.
20	14. Co	unterparts and Facsimile. This Agreement may be
21	executed in count	erparts and facsimile, each of which shall be
22	deemed an origina	l, and all of which, when taken together, shall
23	constitute one and	d the same document.
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

1	15. Authorization. The	undersigned are authorized to
2	execute this Agreement on behalf of	f their respective parties and
3	have read, understood and agree to	all of the terms and conditions
4	of this Agreement.	•
5	AGREED TO:	AGREED TO:
6		- 0/03
7	DATE:	DATE: 7/8/8
8		Met Palls V.P.
9	Plaintiff, Whitney R. Leeman	Defendant, Mikasa, Inc.
10		
11	APPROVED AS TO FORM:	APPROVED AS TO FORM:
12	DATE:	DATE: 7/8/03
- 13	, DAIE,	0.1711
14		Salent L. talk
15	Gregory M. Sheffer Attorneys for Plaintiff	Robert L. Falk Attorneys for Defendant
16	WHITNEY R. LEEMAN	MIKASA, INC.
17	7	
18		AND DECREED that judgment is
19		• •
20		
21		•
22		
23		Judge of the Superior Court
24	4	
25		
26	5	
27	7	
28	3	

STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER RE: CONSENT JUDGMENT Case No. CGC-03-118030